"On IeIe π^0 Stability and MC Matching"

Hector Mendez Physics Department, UPR-Mayaguez FOCUS Meeting October 29, 2004

• A follow-up of Salvador corrections for the π^0 detected in the inner calorimeter is presented.

- These corrections were applied to the IE ADC data block instead of DST
- MC and RAW π^0 reconstruction invariant mass distributions are compared to study their agreement and stability. This study included:



2

• A follow-up of Salvador corrections for the π^0 detected in the inner calorimeter is presented.

- These corrections were applied to the IE ADC data block instead of DST
- MC and RAW π^0 reconstruction invariant mass distributions are compared to study their agreement and stability. This study included:

Photon Energy



• A follow-up of Salvador corrections for the π^0 detected in the inner calorimeter is presented.

- These corrections were applied to the IE ADC data block instead of DST
- MC and RAW π^0 reconstruction invariant mass distributions are compared to study their agreement and stability. This study included:
- Photon Energy
- $rightarrow \pi^{0}$ Energy Asymmetry



• A follow-up of Salvador corrections for the π^0 detected in the inner calorimeter is presented.

• These corrections were applied to the IE ADC data block instead of DST

• MC and RAW π^0 reconstruction invariant mass distributions are compared to study their agreement and stability. This study included:

Photon Energy

- $rightarrow \pi^{0}$ Energy Asymmetry
- $rightarrow \pi^0$ Opening Angle



• A follow-up of Salvador corrections for the π^0 detected in the inner calorimeter is presented.

• These corrections were applied to the IE ADC data block instead of DST

• MC and RAW π^0 reconstruction invariant mass distributions are compared to study their agreement and stability. This study included:

- Photon Energy
- $rightarrow \pi^0$ Energy Asymmetry
- $\ll \pi^0$ Opening Angle
- **X Photon Position across IE**



• A follow-up of Salvador corrections for the π^0 detected in the inner calorimeter is presented.

• These corrections were applied to the IE ADC data block instead of DST

• MC and RAW π^0 reconstruction invariant mass distributions are compared to study their agreement and stability. This study included:

- Photon Energy
- $rightarrow \pi^0$ Energy Asymmetry
- $\ll \pi^0$ Opening Angle
- **X Photon Position across IE**
- **Run Dependance**



Introduction

IE Generation & Reconstruction

(Taking \simeq Salvador Corrections not for **DST** but for **ADC**) \sim

- ✓ Gen: \rightarrow Call IeSim.sf
 - (X,Y) IE Shifted: 25 cm (to match the Shower Maximum)
- ✓ Gen: → Call PbgFill.sf
 - Energy Resolution: $\sigma_E = \frac{5\%}{\sqrt{E}} + 5\%$
 - Position Smearing: I "believe" it is a Flat Random Distribution
- ✓ Rec: \rightarrow Call McIePed.sf [TO MATCH RAW DATA]
 - Scale Factor to match π⁰ mass (took out from pbgfill) LGENER(i) = LGENER(i)*1.07
 - Substract some energy (**5 ADC Counts**) [1 ADC Count ≈ 9 MeV] from each block: LGENER(i) = LGENER(i) **5** * ie_adc2gev(i)
 - Reset to **Zero** if Shower Energy is Negative [LGENER(i) = 0]

✓ Rec: → Call IeRecon: [Re-Reconstruct IE Photons] [MC Only]



3

$\gamma \ \gamma$ Pair

Baseline Cuts for each Photons

- ✓ Clusters not Associated with Charged Tracks: (Nclchg(i) \ge 1)
- ✓ Minimun Number of Blocks per Cluster: ($N_{Blocks} \ge 2$)
- ✓ Minimun Cluster Energy: ($E_{\gamma} \ge 0.5 \text{ GeV}$)

✓ Photons attached to Primary Vertex:

•
$$Px = \frac{Erec * Xrec}{\sqrt{(X_{rec}^2 + Y_{rec}^2 + Z_{rec}^2)}}$$
 • $Py = \dots$ • $Pz = \dots$

Zrec = Lgzpos - Vz (*primary*)
Erec = Lgclen(i)

✓ Fused Clusters (IDCLUS = 0). Reject Clusters if:

- $E_{CornerBlock} \geq 2 * E_{AdjacentBlock}$
- Very Large Energy in 5x5 Outer Ring









- π^{0} 's in this study come from my <u>Skim3</u> on SuperStream 3 (Golden Modes) [66 Tapes] [DST + Muons + ADC]
- ✓ ★ Raw Data from "<u>Skim3</u>" based on SEZDEE:
 - * Primary Vertex $CL \ge 1$
 - * Vertex detachment: $L/\sigma \ge 3$
 - * Kaonicity: bf Wobs(2,i)-Wobs $(3,i) \ge 1$
- MC Data Generated:
 - * $D^{*0}(2007) \rightarrow D^0 + \pi^0$

where $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{0}} \to K^{-}\pi^{+}$ and $\pi^{\mathbf{0}} \to \gamma\gamma$

☞ ★ Gen 10⁷ MC events, Rec & Skimmed through my "<u>Skim3</u>"





Full Data Sample

✓ $M_{\gamma\gamma}$, N_{γ} and E_{γ} Distributions for Baseline Cuts











8





Signal Fit using "moa" (Dario's Program)

→ Fit "just" around the π^0 in each of the "many" M_{γγ} Plots → Fit Function: Gaussian plus a Second degree Polynomial → Extract mean and sigma (MASS and WIDTH) from each of the fit → Just a Sample:



• All fi ts are available at: http://charma.uprm.edu/ mendez/



 $M\gamma\gamma$ and σ_{π^0} as a function of E_{γ} for MC and RAW Data

2004/11/02 12.54





11

IE Reconstruction (Part II) MC and RAW Data (Taking ~ Salvador Corrections for ADC)

• Put back the Block Energy to have the π^0 's at their Nominal Mass.

✓ Rec: → Call IefixDrift.sf

- Add the energy (5 ADC Counts) to each block: LGENER(i) = LGENER(i) + 5 * ie_adc2gev(i)
- Scale Factor to match π⁰ mass: LGENER(i) = LGENER(i) / 1.28

✓ Rec: → Call IeRecon: [Re-Reconstruct IE Photons again]



 $M\gamma\gamma$ and σ_{π^0} as a function of E_{γ} for MC and RAW Data

2004/11/02 12.54





















π^{0} Energy Asymmetry dencies π^{0} Opening Angle X_{γ} Position at IE Front Face Run Dependance e



$\pi^{0} \operatorname{Energy} \operatorname{Asymmetry}_{\pi^{0} \operatorname{Opening} \operatorname{Angle}_{\operatorname{epende}} \operatorname{epende}_{\operatorname{epende}} \operatorname{Angle}_{\operatorname{epende}} \operatorname$















 $M\gamma\gamma$ and σ_{π^0} as a function of Asymmetry for MC and RAW Data

2004/11/02 12.54





 $M\gamma\gamma$ and σ_{π^0} as a function of Asymmetry for MC and RAW Data

2004/11/02 12.54





• $\mathbf{E}_{\gamma} \geq 2$ GeV for each Photon \leftarrow Corr 2

 $M\gamma\gamma$ and σ_{π^0} as a function of Asymmetry for MC and RAW Data

2004/11/02 12.54

















 $M\gamma\gamma$ and σ_{π^0} as a function of X at IE for MC and RAW Data

2004/11/02 12.54





 $M\gamma\gamma$ and σ_{π^0} as a function of X at IE for MC and RAW Data

2004/11/02 12.54





 $M\gamma\gamma$ and σ_{π^0} as a function of X at IE for MC and RAW Data

2004/11/02 12.54







0.125

Opening Angle ieie rad

0.175

0.15

0.2

0.225

0.25



0 L 0

0.025

0.05

0.075

0.1







Opening Angle







 $M\gamma\gamma$ and σ_{π^0} as a function of Opening Angle for MC and RAW Data

2004/11/02 12.54





30

 $M\gamma\gamma$ and σ_{π^0} as a function of Opening Angle for MC and RAW Data

2004/11/02 12.54





 $M\gamma\gamma$ and σ_{π^0} as a function of Opening Angle for MC and RAW Data

2004/11/02 12.54





Run Number

• Run Number (6 Periods)













 $M\gamma\gamma$ and σ_{π^0} as a function of Run Number for MC and RAW Data

2004/11/02 12.54





 $M\gamma\gamma$ and σ_{π^0} as a function of Run Number for MC and RAW Data

2004/11/02 12.54





 $M\gamma\gamma$ and σ_{π^0} as a function of Run Number for MC and RAW Data

2004/11/02 12.54





Summary

- $\checkmark \pi^{0}$'s here are very Stable and MC match the RAW Data
- $\checkmark \star M_{\gamma\gamma}$ Distributions for MC and RAW are very similar
- ✓ ★ Reconstructed π^0 varies as much as 4% of Nominal Mass
- \checkmark MC reproduce the RAW Data very well
- ✓ \star Don't know about Low Energy Photon ($\leq 1 \text{ GeV}$)
- $\checkmark \pm E_{\gamma} \ge 2 \text{ GeV}$ remove small dependence
- \checkmark Try some Physics Measurements involving π^0 and single photons
- ✓ Coming soon $D^* \rightarrow D + \pi^0 / D + \gamma$



rgb0.5812,0.0665,0.0659 rgb0.2116,0.0104,0.7716 RGB85,66, 200 rgb0,1,0 rgb1,1,0 RGB 250,250,210 RGB 224,255,255 RGB 255,240,245 RGB 253,245,230 RGB 240, 240, 240 RGB 179, 179, 179 RGB 133, 133, 133 RGB 139, 102, 139 RGB 205, 50 , 120 RGB 255, 255, 224 RGB 240, 255, 255 RGB 224, 238, 238 RGB 255, 240, 245 RGB 255, 248, 220 RGB 255, 245, 238 RGB 153, 50 ,204 RGB 255, 250, 250 RGB 245, 245, 220 RGB 46 , 139, 87 RGB 95 , 158, 160 RGB 255, 245, 238 RGB 255, 255, 240 RGB 255, 250, 205 RGB 255, 255, 240 RGB 250, 240, 230 RGB 248, 248, 255 RGB 173, 255, 47



